## Computational Procedures

Computer programmes have been written to simulate light scattering from homogeneous spheres of real refractive index. These programmes allow the computation of four basic representations of scattering :

1. Mie amplitude function $S_{p}(\vartheta)$
2. Gegenbauer amplitude spectrum $\left(C_{k}^{k}\right)_{p}$
3. Mie irradiance function $\left|S_{\|}(z)\right|^{2}$
4. Gegenbauer irradiance spectrum $\left(C_{l}^{k}\right)_{p}$
for inner and outer size parameters in the range $0<\alpha<1024$ and $0<\beta \leq 1024$. Such programmes require the accurate generation of Riccati-Bessel functions $\psi_{n}(\alpha), \psi_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)$ and $\chi_{n}(\alpha), \chi_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)_{\text {for orders }} n=0_{\text {to }} n>\alpha, \beta$,Gegenbauer polynomials $T_{n}^{k}(z)_{\text {for }}$ $n=0$ to $n>\alpha, \beta$ and the decomposition factor ${ }^{k} W_{l}^{n, m}$ for $0 \leq n, m \leq \alpha, \beta$ and $0 \leq l \leq 2 \alpha, 2 \beta$

## Bessel Functions

The algorithm used to obtain the two seed values $j_{N}(z)$ and $j_{N-1}(z)_{\text {is based on Meissels }}$ asymptotic approximation of cylindrical Bessel functions for orders greater than the argument [1]. The approximation becomes increasingly accurate for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Meissels formula for half integer order, where $v=n+1 / 2$, is given by

$$
J_{v}(z)=\frac{(v \zeta)^{v} \exp \left\{v \sqrt{\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)}\right\} \exp \left(-V_{v}\right)}{e^{v} \Gamma(v+1)\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\left\{1+\sqrt{\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)}\right\}^{v}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{v}=\frac{1}{24 v} & \left\{\frac{2+3 \varsigma^{2}}{\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-2\right\}-\frac{4 \varsigma^{2}+\varsigma^{4}}{16 v^{2}\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)^{3}} \\
& -\frac{1}{5760 v^{3}}\left\{\frac{16-1512 \varsigma^{2}-3654 \varsigma^{4}-37}{\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right. \\
& -\frac{32 \varsigma^{2}+288 \varsigma^{4}+232 \zeta^{6}+13 \varsigma^{8}}{128 v^{4}\left(1-\varsigma^{2}\right)^{6}}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\zeta=z / v$, with the constraint $\zeta<1$. To minimise any rounding errors in computing the Gamma function an alternative description was found for $\Gamma(v+1) /(v z)^{v}$, a simple analysis will show that

$$
\frac{\Gamma(v+1)}{(v \varsigma)^{v}}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{v \varsigma} \prod_{p-1}^{v+1 / 2} \frac{(2 p+1)}{v \varsigma}}
$$

and has a form that lends itself more easily to machine computation.

## Gegenbauer Functions

An accurate and fast computational scheme exists for Gegenbauer functions since they are stable under forward recursion. The relationship required for forward recurrence is given by [2]

$$
(n+1) T_{n+1}^{k}(z)=(2 k+2 n+1) z T_{n}^{k}(z)-(2 k+n)
$$

Seed values of forward recursion are calculated using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{0}^{k}(z)=(2 k-1)!=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \ldots(2 k-1)_{\text {and }} \\
& T_{1}^{k}(z)=z(2 k+1)!!=z[1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \ldots(2 k+1)]
\end{aligned}
$$

We judge the accuracy of Gegenbauer recurrence by comparing values of $T_{n}^{k}(z)$ when $z=1_{\text {with }}$ those calculated directly using the equality

$$
T_{n}^{k}(1)=\frac{(n+2 k)!}{2^{k} n!k!}
$$

An alternative form of this equation is

$$
T_{n}^{k}(1)=\left\{\prod_{p=1}^{k} \frac{(n+2 k-p+1)}{2}\right\}\left\{\prod_{p=1}^{k} \frac{(n+2 k-p}{p}\right.
$$

which is preferred as it is less susceptible to rounding errors. Values computed using Eq. (3.4) are exact and allows forward recurrence to be checked for $z=1$.

## Decomposition Factors

We are principally concerned with first degree Gegenbauer spectra ${ }^{1} W_{l}^{{ }^{n, m \pi}}$, so only the decomposition factors $k=1_{\text {will be discussed here for }} 0<n, m \leq \alpha$. Two basic features of the set of decomposition factors are the large number of such factors and the number of factorials which need to be computed in the evaluation of

$$
{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{(2 l+3)}{(l+1)(l+2)} \frac{(s+1)!(s+2)!}{(2 s+3)!} \frac{(2 a+2)!}{a!(a+1)!} \frac{(2}{b!!}
$$

where $s=(l+m+n) / 2, a=(m+n-l) / 2 \quad b=(l+m-n) / 2$ and $c=(l+n-m) / 2$. Clearly a strategy is needed which reduces the overall number of mathematical operations that are required.

This is possible by collecting decomposition factors of common $l_{\text {and relating the nearest diagonal and }}$ anti-diagonal neighbours by

$$
\frac{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n+1, m+1}}{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}}=\frac{(s+3)}{(2 s+5)} \frac{(2 a+3)}{(a+1)} ; \quad \frac{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n-1, m-1}}{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}}=\frac{(2 s-}{(s+}
$$

and

$$
\frac{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n+1, m-1}}{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}}=\frac{b}{(2 b+1)} \frac{(2 c+3)}{(c+1)} ; \quad \frac{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n-1 . m+1}}{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}}=\frac{(2 b-}{(b+} \quad 3.6 \mathrm{~b}
$$

Other useful relationships are:

$$
\frac{{ }^{1} W_{l+2}^{n, m}}{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}}=\frac{(l+1)(l+2)}{(l+3)(l+4)} \frac{(2 l+7)}{(2 l+3)} \frac{(s+3)}{(2 s+5)} \frac{a}{(2 a+1)} \frac{(2}{( }
$$

$$
\frac{{ }^{1} W_{l-2}^{n, m}}{{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}}=\frac{(l+1)(l+2)}{l(l-1)} \frac{(2 l-1)}{(2 l+3)} \frac{(2 s+3)}{(s+2)} \frac{(2 a+3)}{(a+1)} \frac{(2}{(2}
$$

In Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7); $a, b, c$ and $S_{\text {are those of }}{ }^{1} W_{l}^{\kappa_{1, m}}$. Eqs. (3.16) and Eqs. (3.17) are the basis of a recurrence scheme for computing all the decomposition factors. The starting point for recurrence of a particular value of $l_{\text {may be }}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{1} W_{m}^{0, n n}=1 \\
& { }^{1} W_{0}^{n, n}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{(2 n+3)} \\
& { }^{1} W_{2 n}^{n, n}=\frac{(2 n)!(2 n+1)![(2 n+2)!]^{2}}{2[(n+1)!n!]^{2}(4 n+2)!} \\
& { }^{1} W_{1}^{n, n+1}=\frac{5}{2} \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{(2 n+3)(2 n+5)} \\
& { }^{1} W_{2 n+1}^{n, n+1}=\frac{(2 n+1)!(2 n+4)![(2 n+2)!]^{2}}{2 n![(n+1)!]^{2}(n+2)!(4 n+4)!}
\end{align*}
$$

whichever is most convenient.
The accuracy of individual decomposition factors may be determined by comparing the factors obtained by recurrence with those given by Eqs. (3.8). A second measure gives the overall accuracy of factors having particular values of $m_{\text {and }} n_{\text {by using a sum rule }}$

$$
\sum_{l-m-n}^{m+n}{ }^{1} W_{l}^{n, m}=T_{n}^{1}(1)
$$

which is shown for $k=1_{\text {but has been derived for all values of }} k$.
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