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Abstract

News media are in a position to project certain perspectives on domestic violence while marginalizing others, which has implications for public understanding and policy development. This study applies discourse analysis to articles on domestic violence in two U.K. national daily newspapers published in 2001-2002 and 2011-2012 to evaluate evidence of change over a 10-year time span. The research examines how discourses of domestic violence are constructed through newspaper representations of victims, predominantly women, and perpetrators, predominantly men. Although one of the newspapers adopts a respectful position toward women, the textual and visual techniques adopted by the other reveal a tendency for blaming the victim and sexualizing violence related to perceptions of “deserving” or “undeserving” women victims.
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Introduction

Media presentation of sensitive issues such as domestic violence plays an important part in shaping and reflecting public opinion (Cumberbatch & Howitt, 1989). Research indicates that the media have the ability to mobilize, and tap into, people’s views on social, cultural, and political issues (cf. Freedman, 2014; Ray, 2011) and that media effects can be a formative influence on consumer attitudes and behavior (Harne &
Radford, 2008). Although consumers decide for themselves which newspapers to read, the content, tone, and allegiance of news coverage will be determined by journalists, editors, and in some cases, press proprietors (Keeble, 2006). Newspaper editorial power in setting the news agenda with their own story, coupled with journalists’ influence on framing popular understanding (Butler, 2014), aims to ensure that the appropriate “take home” message (Wozniak & McCloskey, 2010) is communicated to readers.

The stimulus for investigating media representations of domestic violence in the United Kingdom was derived from our participation in a European Union–funded Daphne III project titled Empowering Women and Providers: Domestic Violence and Mental Health, which focused on enhancing being in control and well-being of women experiencing both domestic violence and mental health issues (Ramon et al., 2013). The expressed guilt of the women participants made us consider what leads victims of this type of violence to accept guilt instead of holding perpetrators accountable. Assuming that this response of the women is anchored in their social context, and given the centrality of media in both shaping public opinion and reflecting views mentioned above, investigating media representations made sense as a way of understanding that context.

Furthermore, in addition to the internalized guilt, both the women’s training groups we ran as part of the project and existing literature (Humphreys & Thiara, 2003; Mullender & Hague, 2005) highlighted the stigma attached to being identified as a victim of domestic violence. We had to ask ourselves why stigma is attached to the victims of this particular crime, whereas it is usually attached to perpetrators of a crime. Stigma is a social construct, attributed to people whose behavior is perceived as socially undesirable, aimed at social distancing from the stigma carrier. The carrier is portrayed negatively in many ways, often leading to a generalized master status in which the stigmatized aspect of their lives is magnified to engulf and mask all of their other personal attributes (Goffman, 1963). Those stigmatized by others frequently internalize the master status to become their key self-identity as a way of making sense of the stigma they are surrounded with. In turn, the internalization guides their belief in who they are and what they can or cannot achieve in life.

In parallel, U.K. legislation and formal governmental approach to domestic violence perceives it as a punishable crime, and seeks to support victims in a variety of ways (Hester, 2011). Although a wide network of support services in both the statutory and voluntary sectors exists in the United Kingdom, government funding for the domestic violence and sexual abuse sector decreased by 31% between 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 (Towers & Walby, 2012), and refuges are increasingly being subject to funding via competitive tendering (Women’s Aid, 2013). A recent report by Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC, 2014) uncovered failings across police forces in England and Wales to deal effectively with domestic abuse. The report found that just under 58,000 people, largely women and children, were assessed by police to be at high risk of serious harm or murder by partners or ex-partners. HMIC (2014) also noted that 77 women were killed by partners or ex-partners in 2012-2013. Yet women in the United Kingdom disclose domestic violence to the police on average...
only after 35 incidents (Starmer, 2011), highlighting that there are barriers that stop women from accessing potentially supportive services. Thus, the current U.K. context contains contradictions in terms of the attitudes toward both victims and perpetrators of domestic violence.

Given this context, and the centrality of the media in reflecting and shaping views, the key question asked in this study is how are those, predominantly women, who experience domestic violence portrayed by the media; which qualities are attributed to them, and to the perpetrators, mostly men; and whether the experience is recognized as a social issue or only as a personal one. Likewise, we were interested to explore whether attitudes of the media have changed during the first decade of the 21st century. To account for variations in U.K. opinion and social structure, the study compares the views expressed on this issue by two national newspapers at key points over this period. Although television and film add more emotionally loaded stimuli for the observer, we focused our investigation on newspapers to examine the unique freedom of printed news media in the United Kingdom, which has been the subject of much public discourse recently, discussed further below.

In reference to terminology, the U.K. government’s (Home Office, 2013) definition of domestic violence and abuse encompasses, but is not limited to, psychological, physical, sexual, financial, and emotional forms of abuse through an incident or patterns of incidents of controlling, coercive, or threatening behavior. It is defined as taking place between those aged 16 or above who are or have been intimate partners or family members, irrespective of gender and sexuality. Although there are different types and patterns of domestic violence (Beckett, 2007), including children witnessing it, we use the terms domestic violence and intimate partner domestic violence to signify the forms of violence analyzed here, which frequently take place in the domestic sphere and within people’s intimate relationships.

Existing Research

The impact of media representations is stronger when the phenomenon studied has a high degree of uncertainty and ambiguity, with a number of key stakeholders involved, such as in the case of people experiencing mental distress and ill health (Philo, 1996; Ramon, 2006; Ramon & Savio, 2000). In such cases, the media acts as a source of information and judgment that enables the audience to make up their own mind about the unclear issue. Hartley (1992), looking at research on youth unemployment, describes it as a “dirt” category—namely, an issue where a number of different perspectives co-exist, where simple explanations are not good enough, and the emerging picture is one of confusion. The role of the media is to clear the muddle, to enable readers to see the key issues and their essence, and direct them to the “right” response. The temptation to opt for simplistic explanations and solutions for the sake of clarity is therefore high.

Domestic violence is an act usually committed in private and often by an intimate partner and, therefore, many of its underlying features are not known to outsiders. Although a punishable crime in the United Kingdom, many instances of it either are
not brought to the notice of the police or become withdrawn complaints by victims. The low level of episodes resulting in court cases and convictions is a reflection of the ambiguity of the responses of those involved—such as the woman, the perpetrator, their extended family and friends, the police, and health and social care professionals. Cavanagh (2003) has detailed the reasons for women victims’ erratic behavior as having to do with the mixture of feelings of shame; failure to have good enough intimate relationships perceived to be the responsibility of women in heterosexual relationships; guilt; and hope that the next strategy the woman adopts will prevent further domestic violence.

Bullock and Cubert’s (2002) research in the United States proposed that domestic violence incidents are not always described as such because they are interpreted through several frames, such as the police frame, “just the facts,” “people are different from us,” blame the victims, and excuse the perpetrator frames. Accepting Bullock and Cubert’s approach, Gillespie, Richards, Givens, and Smith (2013) looked at 113 femicides defined as domestic violence covered in local newspapers in comparison with 113 femicide cases not defined as domestic violence. They suggest that the media are applying several frames in its socially constructed accounts, which are determined by sources of information, language choice, and context. On the basis of the Bullock and Cubert study, Gillespie et al. (2013) applied the following frames in their analysis of femicide coverage in the North Carolina newspapers they analyzed to reveal how domestic violence-defined femicide is categorized: event as routine, nondescript (29%); event as isolated (27%); social problem (25%); blaming the victim (16%); and fault of the criminal justice system (11%). The authors found two more frames to be of relevance to femicide not defined as domestic violence, those of personal loss of control or moral breakdown of the perpetrator, and minimizing the event by focusing on a broader issue. Femicide is treated by the media as presenting a social problem only in 10% of cases in Bullock and Cubert’s (2002) study, and as 13.7% of the sample in a study conducted by Richards, Gillespie, and Smith (2011). When a femicide is constructed as a social problem, information sources are likely to come from advocates of the rights of women victims of domestic violence, and fault will be attributed to the criminal justice system. Similarly, looking at 21st century films, broadcast news, and song lyrics, Batanchiev (2008), in a PhD dissertation on U.S. media, highlights that the films depicted women as weaker than men, while the song lyrics portrayed them as overcoming the abuser, yet remaining weak. The broadcasted news depicted women as responsible for their abuse and objects of pity, and exonerated the abusive men.

In contrast to the above research, Wozniak and McCloskey’s (2010) analysis of 100 newspaper reports on intimate partner violence in the United States found that journalists did not appear to excuse male-perpetrated homicide to the same extent as in previous years, nor were female victims viewed as inciting violence in the vast majority of articles they examined. Although Wozniak and McCloskey accordingly discern that promising progress has been made by journalists toward more accurate reporting, they acknowledge that their focusing on initial news articles may only have had a bearing on their findings. They also note that the articles they examined continued to underplay the broader social context and prevalence of intimate partner violence.
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The above summary of previous research highlights that the media has faced the complex, risky, and uncomfortable issue of domestic violence first by avoiding its prevalence (e.g., event as isolated), second by normalizing it (event as routine), third by blaming the victim, and fourth by blaming the criminal justice system. The group escaping most of the blame seems to be the perpetrators of violence. Simultaneously, we wish to recognize that there are good examples of the U.K. media helping to support women victims, noted further below. Yet, there is evidence of an inclination toward the negative portrayal of some domestic violence victims as described in the literature cited above. In view of the fact that analyzing newspaper coverage of domestic violence is an under-researched area in the United Kingdom, this article explores the extent to which patterns illuminated by research in the United States emerge in the United Kingdom, too.

U.K. Context

Around one in four women and one in six men experience domestic violence in their lifetime in England and Wales (Home Office, 2010). Although there is growing awareness of men as victims, women are more likely than men to experience domestic violence in severe and repeated forms (Women’s Aid, 2009). Public opinion toward domestic violence is often influenced by information provided by news media (Carlyle, Slater, & Chakroff, 2008). Indeed, findings from a British Crime Survey show that 75% of the public gain their information about crime from the media (Mason, 2006).

Printed news media in the United Kingdom occupy a particularly powerful, if somewhat anomalous, position. Although television and radio broadcasting in the United Kingdom is required to be impartial in news reporting, “the print media is fully entitled to be partisan” (Leveson, 2012a, p. 66). The year 1695 saw the end of journalism licensed by the state in the United Kingdom, and since then, newspapers have been regulating themselves. Freedom of the press is a cherished notion. Nevertheless, concerns have been raised about press freedom being conflated with the belief that journalist undertakings are an expression of freedom, and any curtailment is an expression of censorship (Lloyd, 2012). The recent Leveson (2012a, 2012b) Inquiry into the culture, practices, and ethics of the press recommended independent, law-backed regulation of U.K. newspapers. Opponents fear this would signal the end of a free press and free speech, and the debate concerning regulation is ongoing in the U.K. Parliament.

Evidence from the National Union of Journalists, submitted to the Leveson Inquiry in anonymized form due to fear of reprisals, described the competitive culture and, at times, editorial bullying within the newsroom. Their evidence revealed the pressure to deliver a story placed on journalists, some of whom succumbed to the practice of making up quotes (Leveson, 2012b). Submissions to the Inquiry also told of top–down pressure to report stories in a mode that fitted the worldview and political narrative of a title. Leveson suggests that a fusion of fact and comment is inevitable—so-called “agenda journalism.” However, he also cautions,
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... what is harmful and what is worthy of criticism is a practice identified in sections of the press of prioritising the worldview of a title over the accuracy of a story. (Leveson, 2012b, p. 684, emphasis in original)

Although the Leveson Inquiry examined all sections of the press, its criticisms fell most heavily on the tabloids. There are 12 national daily titles in the United Kingdom approximately comprised of four broadsheets, four mid-market titles, and four “red-top” tabloids. It is worth noting that although the United Kingdom has a largely male-managed media with very few women and newspaper editors and more than three quarters of newspaper articles written by men (Hill, 2012), our research found that values and beliefs cut across journalists in terms of their gender, and allegiance to a newspaper’s ideological worldview rather than to one’s gender seems to be a determining variable in journalists’ stance.

Method

To explore media representations of domestic violence at divergent ends of the political and social spectrum, and to examine whether such representations have changed over the course of a decade, we analyzed articles in the Sun and Guardian newspapers in the United Kingdom for the years 2001-2002 and 2011-2012. The rationale for selecting these titles was based on the Sun being the biggest-selling national newspaper in the United Kingdom with an average daily print circulation of 2.3 million during April 2013 (Newsworks, 2013b). Although it supported Labour election campaigns under Blair’s leadership, the Sun’s floating voter status is more commonly aligned right of center (McNair, 2003) as was the case under Thatcher and subsequently Cameron. The Guardian was selected due to its variation with the Sun in terms of being a left-of-center broadsheet with an average daily print circulation of 196,000 during April 2013 (Newsworks, 2013a). There is also divergence in price with the Guardian costing £1.40 per issue during the week in comparison with the Sun’s price of 40 pence (prices as of April 2013). Both titles have been available online, free of charge, since around the time of the new millennium (the Sun has recently mooted the idea of charging digital consumers). Our research is based predominantly on electronic articles, although we did examine hard copies on a minority of days during 2012 to gain a flavor of the respective publications in their entirety, with Michele Lloyd leading research into hard copies of the Sun and Shula Ramon assuming primary responsibility for hard copies of the Guardian. Initial individual findings were then examined collaboratively and recursively, enabling the generation and refinement of themes resulting in progressive focusing. Coding frameworks used for analyzing data emerged inductively during the process of researching the newspapers and also deductively through being theoretically informed by existing literature discussed above (Hodkinson, 2008; Miles & Huberman, 1994). Articles found through the Sun and Guardian websites were cross-referenced with the LexisNexis (http://www.lexisnexis.com/en-us/home.page) electronic database of newspapers, which includes the location and page number of articles not shown on the newspaper websites, although LexisNexis
does not include visual material. In their research into U.S. newspaper coverage of intimate partner violence, Wozniak and McCloskey (2010) similarly accessed articles through LexisNexis Academia and suggested their research could have benefitted from including pictures from Internet newspaper sources. We were keen therefore to include image-based data in our research, which provided a fuller picture of how individuals are depicted in newspapers. In another departure from Wozniak and McCloskey’s (2010) research design, which only examined first published articles on an incident, our analysis followed domestic violence cases as they unfolded across serial articles.

In today’s fast-paced world of instant breaking news and 24-hr digital coverage, newspaper sales are on the decline; yet, combined print and online readership totals remain healthy. The *Guardian* has 12.7 million readers in print and online each month (its monthly print readership is around 4.3 million; National Readership Survey [NRS], 2013). This compares with the *Sun*’s monthly total of 17.4 million readers in print and online (its monthly print readership is 15.2 million, proportionately far higher than the *Guardian*’s monthly print readership; NRS, 2013).

The *Sun* and *Guardian* have similar readership profiles in terms of gender with men comprising around 55% of their readers and women accounting for 45%. Readership shows greater divergence concerning social class classification based on occupation, with the *Guardian* attracting more readers from the higher social grades (Newsworks, 2013a, 2013b).

Stylistically, the *Sun* has a condensed writing form, characteristic of the tabloid genre, accompanied by many photographs, including, since 1970, a daily photograph of a topless woman on Page 3. In contrast to the “red-top” tabloids, the *Guardian* is known as one of the quality newspapers and has more text and in-depth analysis than the *Sun*, with fewer pictures. The *Sun*’s owner, Rupert Murdoch, has been accused of editorial interference (Brook, 2008) and exerting influence on successive *Sun* editors (Freedman, 2014), while the *Guardian* is said to be afforded editorial independence by its owners, the Scott Trust (Ribbans, 2011).

Searches of the *Sun* (www.thesun.co.uk) and *Guardian* (www.guardian.co.uk) websites were undertaken using the terms *domestic violence*, *domestic abuse*, and *intimate partner violence*. The number of articles found increased annually over the span of the decade, with the search term “domestic violence” eliciting the most articles. Table 1 shows the number of *Sun* and *Guardian* online articles citing the term *domestic violence*, with the *Guardian* having more than 5 times the number as the *Sun*.

During the focus years of 2001-2002 and 2011-2012, the number of articles found in the *Sun* was 215, all of which were examined and cross-checked with coverage in the *Guardian* where corresponding articles existed. This provided insights into the similarities and differences between tabloid and broadsheet journalism, discussed below. It became apparent, however, that the terms *domestic violence*, *domestic abuse*, and *intimate partner violence* were not always used in relevant articles, which meant that reports covering these themes were not found by our initial searches. Other terms were used in articles such as *domestic row*, *disturbance*, *argument*, *strife*, and *marital difficulties*, which seem to lessen the impact. We subsequently broadened our research
terms to include language such as woman/man dies, woman/man/children killed or murdered, and wife/husband killed or murdered. This yielded further returns and interestingly brought up articles in which family members had lost their lives but which did not make reference to domestic violence or abuse. This matches research by Wozniak and McCloskey (2010), who found that 72% of the articles they examined on intimate partner homicide did not mention domestic violence. In our research, we subsequently used the names of people known to have been involved in well-documented cases to search the Sun and Guardian newspapers because this ameliorated the fact that coverage was not reported in terms of domestic violence. Naming forms of violence is essential in acknowledging their prevalence, even existence (Kelly, 1988). The lack of consistent language could contribute to domestic violence remaining a hidden problem and consequently often remaining beneath the radar of public consciousness.

In view of the fact that newspaper coverage overwhelmingly homes in on sensational cases of intimate partner violence (Carlyle et al., 2008), the newspaper articles on which our analysis is based can be viewed as likewise extreme in the sense that they concentrate on severe, rather than typical, everyday incidents of domestic violence. Given that effective qualitative research is dependent on paying close attention to detail (Silverman, 2013), we undertook a fine-grained textual and pictorial analysis of articles, staying close to the data (Denscombe, 2014) to examine how those depicted were positioned by newspaper discourses of domestic violence. Informed by previous discourse analytical approaches, we explored how article content was foregrounded and backgrounded (Strega et al., 2014) according to a newspaper’s discursive framing of domestic violence. “In discourse the beliefs, norms and values that are taken for granted in everyday interaction are expressly thematised and subjected to critique” (Crotty, 1998, p. 144), which guided our discourse analysis aimed at critiquing the central themes identified within newspaper representations.

**Findings**

Reflecting the asymmetrical nature of intimate partner domestic violence in the United Kingdom (Dobash, Dobash, Cavanagh, & Lewis, 2004; Women’s Aid, 2009), with men most likely the perpetrator and women the victim, our findings are based predominantly on articles following this gendered pattern. Because acknowledgment of this dominant pattern should not blinker us to “minority patterns” (Humphreys &

### Table 1. Number of Online Articles Citing the Term “Domestic Violence” For Specified Year(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time span</th>
<th>Guardian</th>
<th>Sun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000-2012</td>
<td>3,975</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stanley, 2006, p. 13), a minority of articles in our analysis are concerned with female perpetrators and male victims. None included same-sex incidents. In line with the wider U.K. population, the majority of victims and perpetrators were of White ethnic origin, and a small proportion were of minority ethnic background; we did not find ethnic origin to be a factor in the newspaper articles located by our searches; thus, the variable of ethnicity did not form part of our analysis. Researching the discourse of domestic violence press coverage in the United Kingdom found both congruence with and divergence from previous research carried out mainly in the United States. Analysis of articles led to the identification of five interconnecting themes: blaming the victim, the “ideal” victim, domestic violence campaigning, sexualizing domestic violence, and scaremongering, which will be analyzed in turn below.

### Blaming the Victim

The most commonly identified theme derived from our research relates to how women are held accountable for the domestic violence they experience. This resonates with research by Richards et al. (2011) in the United States, which found that victim-blaming language in the news is mobilized more in relation to incidents of domestic violence than in any other crimes involving violence. Victim blaming remained consistent across the time span of our research. In accordance with previous studies, we likewise found evidence of direct and indirect victim-blaming language (Richards et al., 2011; Taylor, 2009), although overt manifestations were the predilection of the Sun and not the Guardian. Characteristic of tabloid vernacular, the Sun is replete with descriptions of men who have killed their partners as “spurned lover,” “jilted lover,” and “jealousy-crazed,” insinuating that the woman is responsible or at least partially responsible for her victimization.

A domestic violence case on the Channel Island of Jersey is a key case in point. Rzeszowski fatally stabbed his wife, Izabela, two children, father-in-law, a family friend, Marta, and her daughter all of whom were Polish. A Sun article entitled, “BBQ Dad Killed 6 Over Wife’s Affair” (2012), notes that Rzeszowski “slaughtered six people at a family barbecue after he flipped over his wife’s affair.” According to the Sun, Izabela had changed her status on Facebook from married to single and then reverted to married status. Another Sun article (“I’d Rather Cut Off My Hand,” 2011) states, “In a bizarre twist, fellow murder victim Marta had also changed her Facebook status to ‘single’—after a row with her IT worker husband Craig, 37.” There is no way of verifying the Sun’s alleged behavior of Izabela and Marta—the paper appears to denigrate both women with impunity, and as silent victims, they cannot defend themselves (Soothill & Walby, 1991). The Sun describes Rzeszowski as a “doting dad” and Izabela as “cheating on him” and uses the caption of “So innocent . . .” underneath a photograph of their children (“Face of Jersey Slayings Suspect,” 2011). The semiotic impact of the photograph and its caption serves to differentiate the children from their mothers, who may be victims of domestic violence, but perceived by the Sun as blameworthy victims. The Guardian’s (“Jersey Murders Suspect Took Overdose after Wife’s Affair,” 2011) reference to unconfirmed reports of Rzeszowski’s financial difficulties
serves as another indirect way of diminishing the agency of the perpetrator (Taylor, 2009).

Sources that journalists choose to include in articles are telling of their stance on domestic violence (Gillespie et al., 2013). This is exemplified in the case of a father, Say, who fatally stabbed his two children. According to the *Sun* article titled “Dad Killed Kids to ‘Spite’ His Wife” (2011), Say’s wife had left him for another man 2 years earlier, taking the children with her. “Jilted” Say had killed their children as he was due to be evicted from his flat. A neighbor appears to side with Say, and she reports him as stating that he was always the one who did things for the children while “all his wife did was sleep and go to work” (“I’ve Killed the Kids,” 2011). Here, we see the *Sun* using the neighbor as a conduit to disparage a woman whose children have been killed. The case bears out Taylor’s (2009) observation that using neighbors for comment can give a misleading picture of events.

Women’s commitment to work as a contributory cause of domestic violence came to light a decade earlier in the case of police constable Bluestone who killed his wife and two of their four children before hanging himself. Both Bluestone and his wife are reported in the *Sun* and the *Guardian* to have had affairs. In the *Sun*’s report, “Daddy’s Trying to Kill Me” (2001), friends described Bluestone as a “devoted dad” “who adored his kids,” making it difficult for them to understand why he had “flipped.” The *Sun* juxtaposes “community bobby,” against “a crazed copper” who went “berserk,” “exploded,” and, “in a frenzy,” “bludgeoned” his wife and children. It is as though forces external to Bluestone provoked the fatalities.

Similarly, the *Guardian* article, “PC Kills Wife and Sons With Hammer” (2001), quotes Bluestone’s parents’ description of him: “He was a man devoted to his children, dedicated to his job and filled with love for his wife.” The *Sun* article depicts a photograph of one of the sons before he was murdered wearing his father’s police cap and jacket, again evocative of the good relationship Bluestone had with his children. The second photograph shows Bluestone smiling, with a pencil behind his ear, giving the impression of a happy, hardworking man. A later *Sun* article similarly shows a color photograph of Bluestone with a son on this shoulders with the caption “Snapped,” while his wife is pictured alone in a black and white photograph, possibly suggestive of a colder disposition (“Inquest Hears of Carnage,” 2001). In an attempt to account for Bluestone’s actions, the *Sun*, as self-appointed moral arbiter, concludes one article by casting suspicion on his wife:

. . . the carnage is thought to have been triggered by a long-running dispute between Bluestone and high-flying administrator Jill, his second wife, over her yearning to move nearer her job. She earned £40,000 as the head of Basildon District Council’s policy and performance unit. And she faced rush-hour car journeys of up to two hours to reach her office in Essex. Karl earned £25,000 as part of a special police team tackling crime. (“Daddy’s Trying to Kill Me,” 2001)

Here, the *Sun* invokes a sense of emasculation experienced by some men when their wife’s employment is in the ascendency as a way of explaining, perhaps justifying,
acts of violence. Several theorists, Ray (2011) tells us, have suggested that violence in the private sphere may be a response to a crisis of masculinity and to a sense of powerlessness among some men. Accordingly, it is as though the actions of Bluestone’s wife were the qualifying trigger for the fatalities (cf. Winterson, 2001). If women are viewed as inciting domestic violence, it is not surprising many blame themselves and hesitate to contact support services.

During the course of our research, we found evidence aplenty of perpetrator responsibility for abuse receding from view. This emerged in the case of a solicitor who killed his wife, as explained in the *Sun* article, “Daddy’s Stabbing Mummy” (2002):

> Lawyer Les Humes stabbed his wife to death in front of their children after she told him she was cheating on him. . . . Humes worked long hours to provide his family with a comfortable lifestyle and a beautiful home.

The woman’s alleged infidelity receives condemnatory treatment in contrast to the man’s applauded efforts regarding the family’s “mansion.” He was charged with manslaughter rather than murder on the grounds of provocation and jailed for 7 years. A potential message to readers at the time is that mitigating circumstances can sometimes result in a more lenient sentence.

Provocation is no longer permitted as a defense in the United Kingdom and has been replaced with a new partial defense of “loss of control” for killings since 4 October, 2010 (Coroners and Justice Act, 2009). Although there can no longer be an infidelity defense, an appeal judgment in 2012 ruled that because all circumstances at the time of a killing have to be examined, if infidelity was present, it can be a factor in causing a loss of self-control (Baird, 2012). Although the defense of loss of control through infidelity can be considered by a jury, the conditions allowing this defense are now more stringent.

Women who kill their partners are rare and tend to be depicted as particularly deviant. An illustrative example is the *Sun*’s article about Tracie Andrews, convicted of killing her boyfriend in 1996 while driving home, subsequently in preparation for release following 14 years in prison. The article title reads “Evil Andrews serves up cuppas in a church café” (2011), juxtaposing her evilness with the sanctity of a church café and having a “cuppa.” The manic-looking photograph depicting Andrews after the incident adds to her malevolent profile. Compare this with the smiling photograph of police officer Bluestone cited above, whose crimes of murder were “out of character.” The term *evil* is suggestive of internal, innate characteristics, in contrast to Bluestone going berserk and flipping, which have connotations of external triggers.

The theme of evil women surfaced again in relation to so-called “honour-based violence.” Most cases concern women killed by fathers, brothers, and husbands. Of the 105 articles on honor killing found in the *Sun* from 2000-2012, one article used the term *evil* in its headline in relation to a grandmother who plotted to have her daughter-in-law killed: “Evil Gran Will Never Leave Jail” (2007).

Individuals referred to in newspaper coverage of domestic violence were discursively positioned not only through text but also through pictorial images. Visual
content reinforced written content, especially via photographs of male perpetrators in uniform such as police officers and soldiers. In the case of former soldier Michael Pedersen, the *Sun* and *Guardian* use similar photographs of him in military uniform when reporting his killing his two children and committing suicide. Pedersen had survived an Irish Republican Army (IRA) bomb explosion 30 years earlier and is referred to in the *Sun* as a “Hyde Park IRA Bomb Hero” (2012). Described as a “Tormented ex-soldier,” Pedersen seems to receive greater sympathy in the *Sun* article than his wife who had “kicked him out of their home following a furious row.” The tormented and the tormenter are co-created. Similarly, when aspiring footballer Andrew Hall stabbed his 15-year-old girlfriend, the *Sun* (“Teenage Football Star Admits Murdering Girlfriend, 15,” 2012) laments the loss of his promising football career “for killing the pretty brunette,” accentuated by the photograph of Hall in his football strip (the *Guardian*’s picture was one of Hall not in his football strip).

Photographs of men in uniform could be interpreted as indicating that domestic violence can happen to anyone—they may be pillars of society, but they can still perpetrate acts of homicide. Alternatively, such images could be interpreted as casting men in a more positive light and do indeed contrast with visual representations of women perpetrators. For instance, Emma Bushen, now “teetotal” and reformed since previously hitting her husband, is shown in the *Sun* drinking from a bottle “during her boozy days” (“I Beat my Hubby like Corrie’s Tyrone,” 2012). Reference to multiple sexual partners and having children born to different fathers adds to women’s trial by media, be they domestic abuse victims (“Tia’s Addict Mum to Sue Council,” 2011) or “sex-crazed” perpetrators (“Woman Who Drugged Lover’s Beer then Smothered him in Bed is Jailed for Life,” 2012).

Although inclusion of irrelevant behaviors can reveal authorial intent to denigrate the character of the victim, so too can the exclusion of information, which is in keeping with other studies applying discourse analysis (Strega et al., 2014). Given that the majority of femicide cases are preceded by a history of domestic violence (Taylor, 2009), and that men who commit intimate partner homicide are more likely to have a longstanding history of violence in comparison with men who murder other men (Dobash et al., 2004), omitting previous abuse incidents from news coverage of domestic violence may help to exculpate the perpetrator. *Guardian* journalists were consistently more likely to refer to pre-existing violence in comparison with those of the *Sun*, who tended to gloss over or exclude historical incidents, even in cases where previous abuse had resulted in police action, as had occurred in the case of police officer Bluestone cited above. Omitting historical acts of abuse contributes to the discourse of perpetrators “snapping” and committing a one-off act, whereas U.K. data examined by Dobash et al. show perpetrators of intimate partner homicide are likely to be acting “in character” (2004, p. 598) based on the ongoing nature of their violence.

Through the lexical choice of “spurned lover,” “jilted lover,” the *Sun*’s narrative engenders a clear division between malign and maligner, absolution and culpability. The extent to which victims are blamed and perpetrators exonerated seems to bear a correlation with the good woman–bad woman dichotomy discussed in “The ‘Ideal’ Victim” section of this article.
The “Ideal” Victim

Parallel with the Sun’s discourse of victim blaming, it runs articles expressing sympathy toward certain victims of domestic violence. The subtext to the paper’s multiple attitudes toward women appears to be linked to the analogy of “ideal” versus “undeserving” victim articulated by Gekoski, Gray, and Adler (2012). Their research into tabloid journalists in the United Kingdom found that women emerged as the second most perfect victims after children. Particular characteristics such as being young, female, White, middle-class, respectable, and physically attractive contributed to the newsworthiness of homicide cases and boosted newspaper circulation figures. Undeserving, non-ideal victims who do not fit the “ideal media profile” inspire less public sympathy or interest because they are perceived as likely to have contributed to their “expected” fates (Gekoski et al., 2012, p. 1221), a description befitting a number of domestic violence cases.

According to journalistic values, Jane Clough appears to fit the criteria of an “ideal” victim in that she was attractive, from a good home, and a nurse. The Sun article, “Why It’s Never Okay to Hit a Woman” (2012), describes her as a “loving daughter” and a new mum when she was fatally stabbed by her ex-boyfriend in the car park of the hospital where she worked. Worthy characteristics, such as Jane’s, will garner greater sympathy in the tabloid press. This echoes research into the Canadian print media’s portrayal of street sex workers by Strega et al. (2014), who note that the technique of foregrounding women’s respectability reduces the separation between “them” and “us,” thereby increasing the human-interest currency of the story.

Another example of an “ideal” victim identified in our research is Heather Cooper, a police officer killed by her partner, who later committed suicide in prison. “Mummy’s in Heaven” (2011), in the Sun, includes photographs of the couple’s young children at their mother’s funeral and quotes a senior police officer at the funeral service describing Cooper as “a super mum, super person, super cop.” She had received a commendation by her force for her “professionalism, dedication and commitment” (“Ex-Cop Admits He Murdered Mum of Two,” 2012). Those abusing “ideal” victims tend to receive harsher treatment by tabloids such as the Sun, commensurate with victim worthiness, in comparison with perpetrators of crimes against “non-ideal” victims. Indeed, there are examples of men committing intimate partner homicide being referred to in the Sun as “hubby”: “Strangled by Hubby” (2011), “Post[man] Hubby Killed Wife over Affairs” (2011). Using the diminutive term hubby can have the effect of deflating and arguably trivializing marital violence (Conboy, 2006).

The reports of domestic violence discussed here frequently draw on the construct of the ideal woman and the dominant ideology of motherhood (cf. Sunindyo, 2004). Women must navigate their way, for example, between being appropriately hardworking, unlike the “jailbird junkie” whose actions are at “the taxpayer’s expense” (“Tia’s Addict Mum to Sue Council,” 2011), but not too career-minded as to adversely affect family life, nor too hardworking as to financially emasculate husbands or partners and risk provoking domestic abuse and hostile treatment at the hands of the press.

Our research also found evidence of victim “worthiness” being calibrated against real estate value. A recurring detail often included in Sun articles is reference to the...
value of the property where domestic violence took place. Real estate values were far less likely to feature in Guardian articles, although they were occasionally present (“Strife Frequent in Home of Killer PC,” 2001). The Sun additionally provides information as to whether a property was owner-occupied or rented by victim and perpetrator (“Webcam Star Rosie Killed by Her Lover,” 2011). Higher property values seem to elicit greater attention by the Sun in comparison with lower ones. The Sun appears to project its view regarding the perceived incongruity of high-value properties being the backdrop to domestic violence. This was in evidence in cases where the perpetrator was male and the victim female (“Daddy’s Stabbing Mummy,” 2002; “Kate Body Found,” 2011; “Man Kills Lover and Is Found Hanged,” 2012), and where the perpetrator was female and the victim male (“Hammer Killer Wife Jailed for 22 Years,” 2011). In line with research by Gekoski et al. (2012), peoples’ higher social class, and their associated higher real estate value, yield greater newsworthiness in homicide cases, including those committed in the domestic sphere. Referring to property values in cases of domestic violence seems to be suggestive to readers that property worth, victim worthiness, and newsworthiness are positively correlated.

**Domestic Violence Campaigning**

Perhaps surprisingly, to its credit the Sun runs several articles campaigning against domestic violence. We found examples, more so in the latter years of the time frame of our study, of the newspaper giving a voice to women who have experienced domestic violence and encouraging others to speak out. A notable example is Tina Nash, whose eyes were gouged out by her boyfriend. Sandra Horley, Chief Executive of Refuge, is interviewed at the end of some Sun articles, and details of the weblink to Refuge’s 1in4 women campaign are included (“If a Man Hits You Once,” 2012; “Never Be Scared to Report Domestic Violence,” 2011; “Why 2pm Is a Vital Time For Abuse Victims,” 2012). Details of support for male victims are given, too (“Kirsty Bashes Tyrone; Shock Corrie Plot Tackles Domestic Abuse on Men,” 2012). Campaigners have highlighted the importance of publishing expert views alongside news reports of domestic violence, and there are examples of the Sun subscribing to this practice. Although ostensibly positive, the Sun’s campaign has parallels with Parker’s (2004) discernment that contradictory meanings in discourse can represent people in ways that reinforce power relations. Thus, the depiction of individual women through a victim empowerment lens means that perpetrator accountability and wider social conditions remain noticeably absent from the analytical frame (Berns, 2004).

The Guardian adopts a more reflecting than shaping stance on domestic violence in comparison with the Sun and gives its backing to campaigns rather than campaigning. It likewise provides a platform for victim survivors such as Tina Nash to speak for themselves in-depth. Sandra Horley has written articles in the Guardian, too. In one (“We Need to See More Men,” 2012), she writes that domestic violence cases are not about a man “losing his temper” or “flipping out”; they are about systematic control and abuse. However, losing their temper and “flipping” in response to the actions of their partners are precisely the terms frequently used by the Sun to describe some men
who commit violent acts, as demonstrated above. The paradoxes within the Sun suggest its domestic violence campaigning could be less than ingenuous and rather a strategy for publicity redolent of its “self-promotional paradigm of campaigns” (Conboy, 2006, p. 188).

**Sexualizing Domestic Violence**

The biggest-selling U.K. tabloids base much of their appeal on sexualization and titillation. No subject seems out of bounds, including violence and death. Submissions to the Leveson Inquiry relating to reports in the Sun and two other national U.K. tabloids led to the conclusion that all three titles included articles “which appeared to eroticise violence against women” (Leveson, 2012b, p. 664). We found evidence of the Sun’s penchant for sexualizing violence unremitting during the focus years, which bookended the decade time frame of our research. The Sun’s front page photograph of Reeva Steenkamp wearing a bikini the day after she was shot in Oscar Pistorius’s home is a more recent reminder of the paper’s unswerving adherence to the sexualization of intimate partner domestic violence (“3 Shots. Screams. Silence. 3 more Shots, 2013).

Celebrity crime victims represent high newsworthiness and even more so when the association between sex and violence is established. This is evident in the Sun’s focus on the singer Rihanna, abused in 2009 by her boyfriend, Chris Brown, also a singer. There is in part sympathetic treatment of Rihanna by the Sun as it shows her injuries and condemns Brown’s attack on her. While purporting concern for her welfare, these articles also serve as an instrument for depicting sexualized images of Rihanna, along with sexual headlines, multiple times a week during 2012, akin to regular installments of a soap opera, and positioned next to photographs of her injuries.

Salacious headlines are another means of increasing readership appeal and marketability. In the case of a man who killed his girlfriend and then himself while on a boat, the Sun ran the headline, “Purple Sex Toy, Duct Tape, Hard Drive, Cable Ties and a Sheet Covered in Blood” (2012), thereby magnifying the link between sex and violence (Eaves, End Violence Against Women Coalition, Equality Now, OBJECT, 2012). When a newly married woman was killed by her husband, the Sun (“Bride Killed After 4 Days”, 2001) reported: “Just hours before, the couple had been overheard making love by a neighbour, Teesside Crown Court was told yesterday.” The Sun’s perennial use of the word “lover” enhances the sensational currency of intimate partner violence. Another long-standing trait of tabloid reportage is the use of actresses in contrived photographs, which was described more than 25 years ago in Peck’s (1987) analysis of newspaper coverage of violence against women. The Sun continues to use images of staged domestic violence, depicting semi-clad actresses in simulation with actors, or semi-clad actresses with bruises adopting defensive, potentially provocative, poses (“Teenage Domestic Violence Laws Plan,” 2011).

As already noted, notions of women’s infidelity were repeatedly inscribed in the Sun’s representations of female victims. Details of a woman’s alleged unfaithfulness serve the twofold purpose of holding her accountable and infusing a case with sexual overtones. The Sun’s depiction of Diana Garbutt, fatally beaten with a metal bar by her
husband while asleep in bed, makes reference to her “string of affairs” (April 2, 2011), describes how she “used internet dating sites” (March 24, 2011), “had sex with a man on a sofa” when visiting friends with her husband 15 months before she died, and “romped with a cousin’s husband” (March 22, 2011). The recurring disparagement of Diana gives the impression that she is on trial, amplifying long-established campaign calls to stop judging the victim and look at the evidence instead. Predictably Diana continued to be referred to as “unfaithful” and her visits to dating websites mentioned, even after her husband’s conviction for murder (“Wife Killer Jury ‘Right,’” 2012).

When women are not the victims, their sexuality can still be used against them. The case of Charlotte Collinge demonstrates how women can be cast in the role of the temptress by the tabloid media. The Sun’s coverage describes how Evil Charlotte “the Harlot” “lured” (“Killer Wife Given Life,” 2012) two men to her home “with offers of sex” (“Killer Wife Facing Life,” 2012) in return for their fatally battering her husband. The use of the term lured bestows greater agency on Charlotte than on her accomplices.

Gratuitous coverage can further sensationalize crime passionnel. This came to light in the Sun (“Jury Hear Shocking Tape of Mum ‘Being Strangled by Hubby’,” 2002) during the case of Richard Cooper, on trial for “the horrific strangling of love-cheat wife Teresa Cooper.” By using the term love-cheat wife, the Sun is constructing a discourse of domestic violence that holds the victim accountable and instantly sets the tone for the ensuing article. Cooper is reported to have secretly audio-recorded “a sex session between Teresa and her lover,” Chris Sindall. Cooper allegedly activated the recorder inadvertently when he confronted his “three-times-wed wife” about her affair. The article presents a detailed transcript of the recording, which had been heard in court, and includes a particularly disturbing transcript of Cooper strangling his wife. Sections of the quality and tabloid press (and television news) dubbed it the “Weakest Link” murder case because Cooper had used the catchphrase from a popular television quiz saying, “You are the weakest link, goodbye,” when strangling his wife. Based on information about the audio recording from Cooper’s defense lawyer, the Sun states “the sound of mum-of-three Teresa giving lover Sindall oral sex could also ‘clearly’ be heard on it.” The very inclusion of this reference could be interpreted as contributing to the paper’s victim-besmirching discourse. Moreover, the coupling within the same sentence of mother status and giving oral sex to a lover appears to underline the adulterous actions of the woman, not befitting the paragon ideology of motherhood. Here, the linguistic coupling operates as a stance reporting device to intensify the force of the report and convey the attitudinal stance of the journalist (O’Keeffe & Breen, 2007). The woman’s infidelity is used to rationalize and mitigate the perpetrator’s violence:

In the case of domestic murder, uncontrollable sexual jealousy is “understood” and explained as a normalized reaction to the female’s action. (Mason & Monckton-Smith, 2008, p. 704)

Scaremongering

The discursive regime operating in sections of U.K. press coverage of domestic violence not only blames victim action as causal in violence, but also blames victim inaction for not
standing up to abusers or leaving them. Although a less pronounced finding in comparison with other identified themes, yet present across the study’s time span, our analysis found evidence of a scaremongering agenda, which appears to play on parental, particularly maternal, wariness toward social services. Research has documented the long-standing reluctance among mothers who experience domestic violence to seek professional help due to feeling guilt and fear of their children being taken away (National Children’s Home, 1994). One of the key misconceptions surrounding domestic violence is that it is simple for victims to leave (Harne & Radford, 2008). Indeed, cross-national data repeatedly show that when a woman endeavors to leave an abusive relationship, or asks a partner to leave, she is most at risk of serious or fatal injury (Richards et al., 2011; Women’s Aid, 2009). As we found out during the running of our own training programs with women experiencing domestic violence and mental health issues mentioned above, women’s difficulty in disengaging from a violent partner is often due to self-blame, the associated stigma of domestic violence, and financial dependency. So too is it due to isolation, low self-esteem, the controlling influence of abusers, women not seeing themselves as a victim, and fear of social services removing their children—all reasons described experientially by Tina Nash in the Guardian article, “Blinded by Her Boyfriend” (2012). This corroborates research in the United Kingdom by Rivas, Kelly, and Feder (2013), who similarly found that women did not always recognize their psychologically abusive experiences as abuse. Fear combined with feeling there is no way out have not assuaged criticisms that some women who stay with their partners allow perpetrators’ actions to continue.

When the Sun (“Massacred by a Jealous Monster,” 2011) reported the deaths of Joy Small and her two children at the hands of their father, it stated that “police and social services had warned her she might lose the children unless she dumped him”—hardly a reassuring message to readers, nor likely intended to be. In cases where service providers do intervene regarding relationship breakdown, the Sun (“Lousy Hubby Isn’t Always a Bad Dad,” 2011) sets little store by the ability of some professionals to intervene effectively, referring to them as “so-called experts from the burgeoning child ‘protection’ industry” whose actions are perceived as contributing to family fragmentation and “state-enabled hell.” Apparently, costly state intervention by some “overzealous social workers” (“Man Up PM. Stick Up for Good Dads,” 2011) not only takes its toll in human terms but financial ones too, because “self-righteous” professionals, among them social workers, are “on the public payroll” (“Indoctrination Indoctrination Indoctrination,” 2002). Mothers staying silent about domestic violence for fear of their children being taken away is stoked by the Sun’s narrative of social workers as “the tyrants of child protection” whose “playing-God mindset” denies parental access on “mere suspicion” and “hearsay,” and whose Child Protection Plans are often “based on nothing more than an unfounded suspicion, plunging one or both parents into a Kafka-esque nightmare” (“Expose the Tyrants of Child Protection,” 2012). Little wonder some women are reluctant to contact child protection services.

**Discussion**

*Sun* and *Guardian* journalists frame the issue of domestic violence according to the social, political, and ideological discourse within which they operate. Newspapers are
entitled to be partisan in their views (Leveson, 2012a), yet the intertwining of facts and opinion can lead to distortion, especially among those journalists who wish to convey a news report imbued with their ideological hue. Worldview trumps accuracy.

Our research has found complex, multiple faces to the Sun newspaper and resonates with Leveson’s (2012b) finding:

The Sun has campaigned admirably against domestic violence, rape, and size zero models. But it is clear that those campaigns have, perhaps uncomfortably, sat alongside demeaning and sexualising representations of women. (p. 664)

When considering the differences between the decades of coverage, the Sun has moved paradoxically with the times concerning developments in technology, positively through the inclusion of weblinks in articles to online sources of support, but also negatively in its references to Facebook entries and dating websites in their victim-blaming perspective. The old script of blaming women victims for male violence is thus finding articulation in new forms. The language and photographs examined within the Sun conspire to carry a parable-like message intended to spread a moral lesson, namely, that women need to conform to moral values pertaining to a good wife, mother, carer, and homemaker and thereby avert victimization. Moralizing narratives of the Sun are less likely to be projected onto men who are perpetrators, especially in cases of undeserving or “non-ideal” victims. Even the Sun’s domestic violence campaigns are engaged in according to its moral framework of women knowing their place. Double standards continue to be applied to women’s behavior by publications such as the Sun: On one hand, flaunt yourselves, but on the other, perceived deviant behavior will be met with tabloid indignation if abuse happens. The Guardian takes a more respectful position toward the victims, letting them speak more with their own voice.

The pursuit of profit and influence is often, possibly always, the underlying dynamic of newspapers. By way of boosting readership appeal and sales, news media commonly pay attention to extreme cases of domestic violence, which can obscure the prevalence of abuse. The tendency within U.K. press reporting to equate violence against women as perpetrated in public by a stranger (Mason & Monckton-Smith, 2008) contributes to the legitimizing of domestic violence as normal, albeit unacceptable. Although taking place in private, the scale of domestic violence, its impact not only on the victim but often also on her children and perhaps on the perpetrator too, turn it into a social problem whose place is very much in the public domain. Yet, we have seen how domestic violence in the news is seldom framed as a societal public health concern, but rather as an individualized problem or somehow precipitated by victims (Carlyle et al., 2008; Heeren & Messing, 2009). Scant media reference to causal factors and wider patterns of abuse impresses the need for governmental action aimed at raising public awareness and tackling the origins of domestic violence through such measures as the introduction of statutory relationship education in all U.K. secondary schools.

A limitation of our study is that, based on the results of our searches, we focused on spectacular rather than routine forms of domestic violence and on cases referring to
able-bodied, heterosexual intimate partners, but the newspapers’ material hardly mentions the non-spectacular cases. The study could have benefitted from analyzing different forms of domestic violence. We are mindful that examination of honor-based violence was beyond the scope of this study and recognize the importance of exploring whether similar victim-blaming narratives are evident in the coverage of these cases. One of the methodological strengths of this study is also a weakness: Only articles at the beginning and end of a decade of news coverage were analyzed, enabling us to examine evidence of continuity and change, although there could have been themes in the intervening period that our methodology missed. Comparing a wider sample of newspaper titles and analyzing increased digital media output represent useful areas of future research.

Blame and fear are prominent themes within the discourse of domestic violence media coverage. We need to ask ourselves whether news media have a moral obligation beyond informing people—a wider vision of what society could aspire to. If so, there may be a place for a more comprehensive representation of domestic violence, one that begins with empathy toward the victim, which would make a worthwhile difference to victims and to public opinion.

**Conclusion**

This study has offered a fine-grained analysis of how women victims of domestic violence and their perpetrators are discursively framed by two leading U.K. newspapers. Our findings are consistent with predominant trends reported by studies in the United States concerning victim blaming, as well as providing new insights into domestic violence press coverage more specific to the U.K. context pertaining to tabloid journalism’s proclivity for sexualizing violence against women. Narrative appropriation by the press may be all the more prominent in the United Kingdom where printed news media are not subject to impartiality requirements.

The number of articles reporting the issue of domestic violence has risen consistently over the 10-year period of our analysis, which may be grounds for optimism in relation to promoting awareness and public dialogue. So too has there been a noticeable increase in media coverage giving recognition to the issue of intimate partner violence against men, which is to be applauded given the hidden, taboo nature of this problem. Where there has been less change is in the content of coverage. The 10-year passage of time has diminished neither the medium nor the message of the *Sun* in terms of sexualizing violence against women and blaming victims. Our findings have a fresh resonance with concerns described more than 20 years ago by Soothill and Walby (1991): “It is the cuckolded husband who kills who gets the sympathy” (p. 47). The language may have toned down since the days when a U.K. judge in 1985 said a man found guilty of the manslaughter of his unfaithful wife “deserved sympathy” (Soothill & Walby, 1991, p. 47), or the case of the man who killed and buried his “nagging wife” (“Six Years’ Jail for Hammer Killing of Nagging Wife,” 1999), yet women still appear to be shown less mercy in comparison with men. The *Sun* continues to mobilize familiar spirals of representations concerning domestic violence, making
moral judgments that result in an ideologically skewed picture of blame, perpetrators, and victims.

News media have the power to transmit information to consumers and inform public opinion in the process, suggesting that, although the media appear to be part of the problem of distortion and misunderstanding, they can be part of the solution to tackling domestic violence, too (Gillespie et al., 2013). Although domestic violence will not be eradicated with the cessation of misleading media coverage alone, it is essential that media content continues to be critically analyzed if the causes of domestic violence, sanctioning of privatized abuse, and reinforcement of violent culture are to be challenged and changed.

Future research could examine whether the ongoing debate around press regulation will affect U.K. newspaper coverage of gendered issues such as domestic violence. Furthermore, finding out from victims and from the general public their views about the representation of this issue by the newspapers would make an important research contribution, as would an action research study in which journalists participate alongside victim survivors and their welfare organizations.
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